



City of Franklin

109 3rd Ave S
Franklin, TN 37064
(615) 791-3217

Meeting Minutes

Historic Zoning Commission

Monday, June 8, 2026

5:00 PM

Eastern Flank Event Facility

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Historic Zoning Commission will be held on the date and time listed above. For accommodations due to disabilities or other special arrangements, please contact the Human Resources Department at (615) 791-3216, at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. Accommodations have been made to ensure that the public is able to participate in the meeting. The public may participate in the following ways: • Watch the meeting on FranklinTV or the City of Franklin website. • Watch the live stream through the City of Franklin Facebook and YouTube accounts. • Email comments to planningintake@franklinton.gov to be provided in full to the Commission and included in the minutes, but not read aloud in their entirety during the meeting. Emailed comments are accepted until 12:00 PM (noon) on the day before the meeting. • Comment in-person at the meeting location. Speakers may sit in the meeting location.

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Tyler LeMarinel called the meeting to order at 5:00 PM.

Commissioners Present: Chair Tyler LeMarinel, Madalyn Ingram, Michael Orr, Brian Laster, Angela Calhoun, Mary Pearce.

Commissioners Absent: Kathy Worthington, Bob Barrett, Holly Thompson.

Staff Present: Emily Huffer, Elizabeth Bulay, Jared Carter, Kelly Dannenfelser, Bill Squires.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (Open for citizens to be heard on any issue or concern, including those related to items on the agenda. Please submit a Speaker Card at the beginning of the meeting if you would like to address the Commission. If you would like to speak on an agenda item, the Chair will hold your comment until the public comment period associated with the item. As provided by law, the Commission shall make no decisions or consideration of action of citizen comments for items not on the agenda, except to refer the matter to the Planning Director/Staff for administrative consideration, or to a schedule the matter for consideration at a later date. Those addressing the Commission are requested to come to the microphone and identify themselves by name and address for the official record. The Chair may restrict the period for public comment, including the length of the public comment period, the number of individuals who can speak and the length of time each individual may speak. When time allows, the standard individual public comment time is two minutes.)

Chair Tyler LeMarinel asked for citizen comments. There were none.

Comments on agenda items may be made in person or by emailing PlanningIntake@FranklinTN.gov before noon on the day of the meeting. Emailed comments will be provided to the Commission and included in the minutes, but not read aloud in their entirety during the meeting.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

1. Consideration Of Approval Of Minutes

Sponsors:

Commissioner Laster motioned to approve the May 11, 2026, HZC Meeting Minutes, seconded by Commissioner Calhoun. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Ms. Huffer stated that the next DRC meeting is Monday, June 15, 2026, at 4 pm. The deadline to be added to the agenda is midnight, tonight, June 8, 2026.

Commissioner Pearce announced that Juneteenth will be celebrated June 19, 2026, from 10 am -4pm at Bicentennial Park.

Chair LeMarinel announced that the Declaration of Independence will be read on July 4, 2026, at the Williamson County Archives.

Commissioner Calhoun announced that Williamson Remembers will be held on June 20, 2026.

APPLICATIONS**2. Consideration Of Window Replacement At 108 Cottage Ln.; Patricia Grosland, Applicant.**

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer

Project Leader: Emily Huffer. Ms. Huffer explained that the property at 108 Cottage Lane is located within the Boyd Mill Avenue Historic District. The building was constructed in the 2010s. The proposal is to replace all of the windows on the building. The use of vinyl-clad windows appears appropriate within this context, as the Vandalia Cottages are a new subdivision within the fringes of the Boyd Mill Avenue Historic District.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the application with conditions outlined in the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Patricia Grosland. Ms. Grosland was present but did not have any additional information to add.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION

Commissioner Pearce motioned to approve Consideration Of Window Replacement At 108 Cottage Ln with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Calhoun. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

3. Consideration of Driveway Alterations At 900 Fair St.; Stephen Scott, Applicant.

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer

Project Leader: Emily Huffer. Ms. Huffer stated that the property at 900 Fair Street is located in the Hincheyville National Register Historic District and is a contributing building within the district. The proposal includes the replacement of the existing asphalt driveways with concrete driveways. The proposed alteration aligns with the Guidelines and is appropriate.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the application with conditions outlined in the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Stephen Scott. Mr. Scott stated that the proposal is to replace the asphalt area with standard finished concrete.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION

Commissioner Orr motioned to approve Driveway Alterations At 900 Fair St. with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Laster. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

4. Consideration Of Site Alteration (Alteration To Previously Approved Driveway Alteration) At 309 4th Ave. S.; Michael Desmond, Applicant.

Sponsors: Emily Wright, Emily Huffer, Elizabeth Bulay

Project Leader: Elizabeth Bulay. Ms. Bulay explained that the property located at 309 4th Avenue South is located in the Downtown Franklin National Register Historic District. The site features a ca. 1905 two-story, frame residential building.

The proposal includes a request for an alteration to the approved driveway design to utilize a ribbon/Hollywood strip design with plant materials in the cut sections of the driveway. The use of a ribbon/hollywood driveway is seen throughout the district and is appropriate.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the driveway alteration with conditions outlined in the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Michael Desmond. Mr. Desmond stated that he looked for a driveway alternative to a concrete driveway that would allow for more green area. After walking through the historic district and observing other driveways, Mr. Desmond explained that he liked the ribbon/hollywood design driveway.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION

Commissioner Laster motioned to approve Site Alteration (Alteration To Previously Approved Driveway Alteration) At 309 4th Ave. S with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Ingram. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

5. WITHDRAWN BY APPLICANT Consideration Of Modern Features (Skylight) At 217 5th Ave. S.; Dave Crouthamel, Applicant.

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer, Elizabeth Bulay

6. Consideration Of Principal Building Alterations And Site Alterations At 908 W. Main St. (Mapledene); Tyler LeMarinel, Applicant.

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer

Chair LeMarinel recused himself as the applicant for item #6. Vice Chair Laster will handle the "Chair" duties for item #6.

Project Leader: Emily Huffer. Ms. Huffer stated that the property located at 908 W. Main (locally known as Mapledene) is located in the Hincheyville National Register Historic District and is considered a contributing 1881 building. The proposal includes:

- Roof alterations,
- repainting areas that are currently painted,
- repairing/replacing deteriorated wood elements,
- replacement of a square pilaster to the front of the building,
- replacement of ceiling fans and light fixture,
- replacement of k-style gutters, and
- replacement of a fountain.

The Guidelines support maintaining the existing building and its features and would consider most of the proposal to be maintenance and in-kind replacement of the elements.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the proposal with conditions outlined in the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Hunter Connelly. Mr. Connelly stated that he did not have any new information to add other than he is excited have the opportunity to preserve and extend the life of this historic building.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION

Commissioner Orr motioned to approve Principal Building Alterations And Site Alterations At 908 W. Main St. with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Calhoun.

Commissioners Pearce, Calhoun and Laster all agreed that everyone is excited to see the building be restored and preserved for the future.

There being no further discussion. The motion carried by a vote of 5-0.

7. Consideration Of Alterations (Walkway, Driveway, Lighting, And Fencing) At 424 S. Margin St.; Hudson Walker, Applicant.

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer

Project Leader: Emily Huffer: Ms. Huffer stated that the property located at 424 S. Margin St. is located in the Downtown Franklin Historic District and is considered a contributing circa 1930 Tudor Revival building. The proposal includes the following:

- Replacement of the driveway,
- Replacement of the walkway,
- Installation of a rear patio,
- Installation of rear fencing, and
- Installation of new lighting.

Rear Fencing

Ms. Huffer explained that it is proposed to install picket fencing around the parking pad behind the house and appears to align with the Guidelines for fencing but information on the height and material should be confirmed by the applicant.

Lighting

It is proposed to replace the fixture on the front of the building and install a new fixture on the rear of the building. The front light fixture was previously an electric fixture, and it is proposed to be replaced with a gas fixture. The rear light fixture is proposed to be an electric fixture. The Guidelines recommend the following for light fixtures:

If new lights are needed, use simple fixtures that are appropriate to the scale and period of the house and constructed of historically prevalent materials. New fixtures should be placed in the traditional location.

The proposed fixture styles are simple and appropriate. The front light fixture is 24" in height, which appears to be a large fixture for the location above the door. The fixture size should be minimized to align with the recommendation of the Guidelines. Additionally, the use of gas for the front fixture is not consistent with the period of the house as electricity would have been the available material for lighting.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the application with conditions outlined in the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Hudson Walker. Mr. Walker stated that there are at least 5 other homes in the area that have gas lanterns and he does not think the source of fuel is indictive to the age of the home. Regarding the light fixture, Mr. Walker stated that he would be glad to replace the proposed fixture with a smaller fixture that measures 21 inches. Lastly, Mr. Walker explained that the fence height would be 36"-46" tall.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION

Commissioner Pearce motioned to approve Alterations (Walkway, Driveway, Lighting, And Fencing) At 424 S. Margin St with staff conditions excluding condition number two, seconded by Commissioner Calhoun.

Commissioner Pearce stated that she does not see gas lanterns as a negative and could easily be replaced with electric in the future.

Commissioner Ingram stated her concern about the size of light fixture and would feel more comfortable with a height of 18".

Commissioner Calhoun agreed with Ms. Ingram that 18" would be a better size and would look better.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the light fixture would be approved by staff as written in the staff report.

Ms. Huffer stated that staff would appreciate feedback from the Commission regarding the light fixture.

Commissioner Pearce stated that there are more exciting light fixtures available for the style of the home.

Commissioner Ingram stated that the height of the fixture does not include curl/yoke of the lantern making it clear that a smaller size should be used.

Chair LeMarinel stated that he doesn't mind the globe and gooseneck as it adds to the height and scale of the building. Mr. LeMarinel stated that the motion could be amended to include what the Commission would like to see for the light fixture and pointed out that the current motion on the floor includes approval of the light fixture being made by staff.

Commissioner Laster stated that the Guidelines recommend using simple fixtures that would have been historically prevalent. The intent of the Guidelines is that materials that would have been available at the time the home was built be used. Franklin did not receive gas until 1957, making gas unavailable at the time when the original home was built, in the 1920's -1930's. Mr. Laster further stated that a Tudor design built in this period would never have used gas as electricity was considered more modern. Mr. Laster explained that there are wonderful cast iron fixtures available that are more appropriate and would have been used during this period and would have been electric.

Chair LeMarinel stated that for him the light source does not matter or make a difference to him and stated as Ms. Pearce said, the gas lantern does not detract from the overall design of the house. The Guidelines try to account for most situations, and in this situation, when the house was built gas lanterns were not used but after 1957 the light fixture could have been converted to gas. Lastly, Chair LeMarinel stated that he does not believe that people see a gas lantern and think the house is not historic and, as the applicant pointed out there are several homes in this area that have gas lanterns. However, Chair LeMarinel stated that he does believe people may see a lantern and think it may not match the style of the home or that the material or scale aren't appropriate or proportional.

Answering Commissioner Calhoun's question about whether the gas lanterns are appropriate for this house, Commissioner Laster stated that the lanterns are not appropriate and there are better choices that could be made. The HZC was not established until 1989 and had no guidelines until that time, so when people mention the use of gas fixtures in the historical districts, the homes could have made changes prior to 1989 and may not be historically accurate. Commissioner Laster went on to say that he can't remember ever approving gas fixture and stated the Guidelines need to be more refined to address this issue as the HZC sees many requests for gas fixtures.

Commissioner Ingram stated that to help the applicant, there are ways to modify the lantern by not using a detailed bracket along with the finish selection (iron or zinc) and can be electric or gas.

Commissioner Pearce stated that she shared her thoughts about a more appropriate fixture with staff and then staff can discuss with the applicant.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the main issue is the scale of the proposed lantern relational to the overall building. Something more simple without the gooseneck that measures around 18" would fit the scale better.

Commissioner Ingram stated that it appears to be 36-39" from the top of the key to the peak.

Commissioner Calhoun suggested a darker color.

Commissioner Laster stated that this is the finest Tudor style home in Franklin with the windows refurbished and all the work the applicant has done is wonderful.

Commissioner Calhoun stated that the applicant has painstakingly given attention to detail, which is important.

There being no further discussion, the motion carried by a vote of 5-1 with Commissioner Laster voting against.

8. Consideration Of Building Alterations, Site Alterations, and Front Yard Fencing At 121 Myles Manor Ct.; Scott Cash, Applicant.

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer, Elizabeth Bulay

Project Leader: Elizabeth Bulay. Ms. Bulay stated that the property located at 121 Myles Manor Court is located in the Franklin Road Historic District. The site features a ca. 1930 Tudor revival-influenced building. The proposal includes a series of requests for the following items:

- Building alterations: gutters and downspouts, front and side door replacement, lighting, and porch materials
- Site alterations: walkway and driveway alterations
- Front yard fencing

The proposal includes a request to install new light fixtures on the front, side, and rear of the building. Four different fixtures are proposed that feature a simple lantern design with dark bronze and copper finishes. A combination of gas and electric lanterns are proposed. The Guidelines recommend the following for light fixtures:

If new lights are needed, use simple fixtures that are appropriate to the scale and period of the house and constructed of historically prevalent materials. New fixtures should be placed in traditional locations.

The proposed fixtures are simple and appropriate. However, the use of gas is not consistent with the period of the house as electricity would have been the available material for lighting.

Site Alterations:

The proposal includes a request to replace the existing stamped concrete driveway with a Vanderbilt finished concrete with stone banding. The Guidelines suggest using durable materials that were historically typical for driveways, such as gravel, concrete, bricks, and asphalt. The use of the Vanderbilt finish concrete is appropriate; however, the proposed use of stone banding on the driveway is not appropriate.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the application with conditions listed on the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Scott Cash. Mr. Cash stated that the lantern in the archway and the two lanterns on the rear of the home/addition are proposed to be gas with all other fixtures electric. Mr. Cash explained that he used the method of counting brick, as Chair LeMarinel suggested, to find the appropriate fixture size. The front lantern fixture in the archway measures about 18" as well as the lanterns in the back. On the side porch, Mr. Cash stated that they are proposing to replace the existing electric lantern with an electric lantern. Regarding the driveway, Mr. Cash stated that the banding seems to help with storm water runoff which is significant for his property. The current driveway stamping is faux and, as proposed would like to replace it with real stamping, but Mr. Cash stated that he would be fine with a standard concrete drive. Mr. Cash explained that his basement floods after every large storm, and he is unsure if a standard concrete drive would increase the water runoff or not.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION (Gutters/Downspouts & Door Replacement)

Commissioner Laster motioned to approve gutters/downspouts & door replacement at 121 Myles Manor Ct. with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Ingram. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

MOTION (Driveway Alterations)

Commissioner Pearce motioned to approve Driveway Alterations with staff conditions for the property located at 121 Myles Manor Ct. with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Orr.

Commissioner Laster asked if the driveway is larger than a standard driveway.

Mr. Cash stated that he believes the driveway width is standard at 12' and is steeper than it appears.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the current banding is wider than is typically seen and that typical banding is an edging of granite block and much narrower than what exists on this driveway. The HZC has approved cobble edging consisting of a single brick edge and not three or four bricks wide.

Mr. Cash stated that he is open to reducing the driveway band width.

Commissioner Ingram suggested a deferral of the driveway portion.

Chair LeMarinel explained that the applicant might want an approval now.

Commissioner Calhoun reminded the Commission that the applicant said they would be ok with a standard concrete driveway, and it may look better.

Commissioner Ingram suggested an amendment to the motion requiring the applicant to have approval from staff for the widths.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the motion is to approve it as concrete with staff conditions which means removing the banding.

Commissioner Pearce stated she would like to amend the motion to include staff's approval of the narrow banding for the driveway edge.

Amendment

Commissioner Pearce amended the motion to require approval by staff for the narrow edge banding of the driveway including material size and material bandings size and material, seconded by Commissioner Ingram.

Chair LeMarinel explained that this would give the applicant the option to not utilize a narrow band along the driveway edge or get approval from staff if a narrow band is used.

Ms. Bulay confirmed that staff's condition is because the material – stone — is not recommended for driveways. If stone is the material approved, staff would need clarification on that.

Commissioner Ingram stated that if stone is the material used, then it should be approved by staff.

Chair LeMarinel stated that if the HZC gave staff guidance that the material could be stone, staff could approve afterward. What has been approved in the past is a single cobble the size of a brick along the edge lining the driveway. Mr. LeMarinel explained that the HZC has approved this type of cobble edging several times.

Commissioner Calhoun stated that the stone would be a narrow edging along the driveway and not complete driveway made of stone.

Commissioner Orr stated that it is not the part of the driveway that is driven on.

Chair LeMarinel stated that a cobble edging is the most appropriate with the pending amendment as narrow edge banding which could be the narrow cobble edging.

There being no further discussion, the motion to amend the main motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

The main motion, as amended, carried by a vote of 6-0.

MOTION (Fencing & Walkways)

Commissioner Laster motioned to approve Fencing & Walkways Alterations with staff conditions for the property located at 121 Myles Manor Ct. with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Calhoun.

Commissioner Laster noted the shape of the existing sidewalk and suggested the proposed sidewalk be similar because of the distance.

Chair LeMarinel stated that It appears in the renderings that the sidewalk will be like the existing sidewalk.

The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

Chair LeMarinel explained that the next motion is for the porch alterations, specifically the removal of the geometric flooring and replacement with brick and staff is supportive of the use of brick.

Ms. Bulay noted that there could be historic material underneath the floor but is currently undetermined. The use of brick or concrete is commonly seen throughout the district and is appropriate.

MOTION (Porch Alteration)

Commissioner Ingram motioned to approve Porch Alterations with staff conditions for the property located at 121 Myles Manor Ct with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Calhoun.

Commissioner LeMarinel stated that the use of brick is a much better choice for the district and the brick columns provide a contrast.

The motion carried by a vote of 6-0.

Chair LeMarinel stated that staff recommend the light fixtures be electric and not utilize gas fixtures.

MOTION (Lighting)

Commissioner Orr motioned to approve the lighting as submitted by the applicant for the property located at 121 Myles Manor Ct., seconded by Commissioner Calhoun.

Commissioner Orr stated that it is common in this area to see either gas or electric fixtures along with the sizes and shapes chosen will add to the façade.

Commissioner Laster urged the applicant to research other light fixtures that may be more appropriate for the Tudor style home. So much time and research have been spent on this home, it would be nice to see cast iron light fixtures for the porch.

Commissioner Ingram agreed with Commissioner Laster.

Commissioner Pearce agreed with Commissioner Laster and noted that it could be a missed opportunity to further reflect the Tudor style.

Chair LeMarinel also agreed and stated that the Guidelines recommend adding appropriate details that consider the style of the home and the period of time it was built. Using the proposed light fixtures would be considered atypical. Referring to the light fixture at the bottom left in the application documents, Chair LeMarinel noted that the fixture was the closest to the Tudor design but without the hanging chain and possibly as a sconce.

Commissioner Ingram agreed with Chair LeMarinel.

Chair LeMarinel also noted that a cast iron variety is available.

The motion carried by a vote of 4-2 with Commissioners Laster and Pearce voting against.

9. **Consideration Of New Construction (Principal) At 1855 William Campbell Ct. (Lot 11, Magnolia Hall Subdivision); Jennifer Ford, Applicant.**

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer, Elizabeth Bulay

Project Leader: Elizabeth Bulay. Ms. Bulay stated that the property located at 1855 William Campbell Court is located in the Boyd Mill Avenue Historic District and is part of the Magnolia Hall Subdivision. The site is Lot 11 of the development and will be located at the end of the street with high visibility from Glass Lane and Highway 96 West. The proposal includes a request for the construction of a 1 ½ story building with some Queen Anne-inspired elements. The building features asymmetrical front-facing gables, dormers, a bay window, and a partial wrap-around porch with a pediment and screened porch off the front right corner.

Materials:

The building consists of brick and frame construction and features a stone foundation, scallop shingle siding, 4" lap siding, a shingle roof, and accent standing seam metal roofing. The Guidelines recommend the following for materials:

Use brick, concrete, or stucco or painted concrete blocks for foundations.

The materials of the porch, siding, and windows were not indicated within the application, but should be consistent with the recommendations of the Guidelines. The use of stone foundations is consistent with what has been approved on other buildings in the development. However, it is not recommended in the 2016 Guidelines in which the project is vested.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommend approval of the new construction with conditions outlined in the staff report.

Applicant Speaker: Jennifer Ford. Ms. Ford stated that adjustments were made based on the DRC comments and specifically, the roofline on the left side was adjusted.

Chair LeMarinel stated, as a point of clarification, that all the homes have stone foundations.

Citizen Comments: None

MOTION

Commissioner Laster motioned to approve New Construction (Principal) At 1855 William Campbell Ct. (Lot 11, Magnolia Hall Subdivision) with staff conditions, seconded by Commissioner Orr.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the project has come a long way and asked the applicant about the louver/vent on one of the elevations in the rear. Regarding the stairwell windows, Chair LeMarinel asked if there was a reason the window couldn't be two taller double hung windows and not a transom.

Ms. Ford stated that regarding the louver, the louver will probably end up being windows and agreed that the windows could be two taller double hung windows without the transom.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the window/louver may not be an issue other than a peculiar geometry.

Ms. Bulay clarified that the Guidelines recommend the foundation be made of brick, stucco or concrete and if another material is to be used, an amendment would need to be made to be consistent with the remaining properties.

Amendment

Commissioner Laster amended the motion to include stone as an acceptable material for the foundation, seconded by Commissioner Orr. The motion to amend carried by a vote of 6-0.

The main motion, as amended, carried by a vote of 6-0.

10. Consideration Of Partial Demolition and Addition (Principal) and New Construction (Accessory Structures) At 109 Everbright Ave.; Asher Paxton, Applicant.

Sponsors: Kelly Dannenfelser, Emily Huffer

Item not heard by the commission as there was no applicant present to represent the item.

Chair LeMarinel stated that the item will be automatically deferred to the next meeting and that no other action can take place.

OTHER BUSINESS

Chair Tyler LeMarinel asked if there was any further business. There was none.

RECEIPT OF ADMINISTRATIVE COA APPROVALS ON BEHALF OF THE HZC**11. Administrative COA For Rear Yard Fencing At 264 Natchez St.; Mary Pearce, Applicant.**

Sponsors:

12. Administrative COA For Signage (Window) At 135 2nd Ave. N.; Marla Albert, Applicant.

Sponsors:

13. **Administrative COA For Signage (Reface) At 110 3rd Ave. N.; Ericka Atkinson, Applicant.**
Sponsors:
14. **Administrative COA For Signage (Reface And Window) At 99 E. Main St.; Shaun Sullivan, Applicant.**
Sponsors:
15. **Administrative COA For Mechanical Equipment At 1003 Fair St.; David Tachek, Applicant.**
Sponsors:
16. **Administrative COA For Signage (Small Hanging/Projecting) At 109 3rd Ave. S.; City Of Franklin, Applicant.**
Sponsors:
17. **Administrative COA For Mechanical Screening At 264 Natchez St.; Mary Pearce, Applicant.**
Sponsors:
18. **Administrative COA For Mechanical Equipment And Screening At 119 Winslow Rd.; Christopher Huckabee, Applicant.**
Sponsors:

ADJOURN

Commissioner Orr motioned to adjourn the June 8, 2026, HZC meeting, seconded by Commissioner Ingram. The motion carried by a vote of 6-0 and the meeting adjourned at 6:02 pm.

 7/13/26